III. Some Account of Aucklandia, a new Genus of Compositæ, believed to produce the Costus of Dioscorides. By Hugh Falconer, M.D., Superintendent of the Hon. East India Company's Botanic Garden at Saharunpore. Communicated by J. F. Royle, M.D., F.R.S. & L.S.

Read November 17th, 1840.

ORD. NAT. Compositæ, Tr. Cynareæ, Subtr. Carlineæ, DeCand., Prodr. 5. p. 351.

AUCKLANDIA. Falc.

- Char. Diff. Capitulum homogamum. Antherarum caudæ lanato-plumosæ. Pappi paleæ æqualiter biseriales, plumosæ, basi ternatim quaternatimve cohærentes, in annulum deciduum concretæ. Achænium glabrum.
- Char. Gen. Capitulum homogamum, multiflorum. Involucrum ovato-globosum, imbricatum, multiseriale: squamis oblongis, adpressis, cum acumine calloso patulo in setam desinente. Receptaculum convexum alvoolato-fimbrilligerum. Corollulæ æquales 5-fidæ, tubo elongato gracili basi subdilatato, fauce longiusculè ampliatâ, lobis linearibus æqualibus. Antheræ apice breviter appendiculatæ, basi in caudas lanato-plumosas productæ; filamenta glabra. Styli rami elongati, liberi, divergentes. Achænium glabrum, obovatum, crassum. Pappi paleæ æquales, biseriales; setis plumosis, basi ternatim quaternatinve cohærentibus, in annulum deciduum concretis.
- Herba orgyalis, perennis, alpicola, in montibus Cashmeeriensibus copiosissimè obvia; radice crassa, subfusiformi-ramosa, valde aromatica; caule simplici erecto stricto folioso; foliis alternis, amplissimis, sublyratim pinnatifidis, lobo terminali maximo hastato-cordato erosè dentato, dentibus seta terminatis; capitulis terminalibus, sessilibus, 5-8 aggregatis; floribus atro-purpureis.—Radix Costus dicta in medicina veterum et Arabica celeberrima, et Asiaticis hodiernis in usu frequentissimo, diu sed falsò a Linnæo et aliis a Costo Arabico inter Scitamineas provenisse autumata.

Aucklandia Costus. Falc.

Habitat passim in clatis apertis montibus circa Cashmeer ad altitudinem 8000-9000 ped. supra marc. Floret Julio; fructus maturescit Octobri. (Vidi viv. spont. florent. et fructif.)

Descr. Herba subgregaria, 6-7 pedes alta, puberula; caulibus annuis; radice perenni. Radix irregulariter cylindracea, cartilagineo-succulenta, crassa, ramosa, odorem gratum suballiaceum valde pungentem redolens, et saporem fervidum mordicantem aromaticum demùm subamarum ferens; collo crassitie ferè carpi, 2-3-chotomo, totidem vel plures ramos emittente, deorsùm in ramulos plures divergentes, leviter flexuosos et tortos, subfusiformes, spithamam usque ad pedem longos, policem ad sesquiunciam crassos, diviso, undique fibras succulentas simplices vel divisas pennam anserinam crassas emittentes; epidermide leviter corrugată, in plantâ recenti sordide et pallide luteâ, in siccâ fuscâ vel griseo-nigricanti. Caules solitarii, vel plures fastigiatim aggregati, quisque simplex, teres, erectus, strictus, glaber, longitudinaliter sulcatus, foliosus, basi medullâ farctus, suprà fistulosus, demum suberosus evadens; prope radicem circiter pollicem, apice pennam anserinam crassus. Folia radicalia longè petiolata, membranacea, alterna, erecta, amplissima, interruptè sublyratìmque pinnatifida, lobo terminali maximo, latè cordato, auriculis subhastatim angulatis, 14 uncias longo, 16 uncias lato, margine eroso-dentato dentibus setâ terminatis, suprâ glabro atro-viridi, subtùs glaucescenti, in venis puberulo; lobis lateralibus parvis sinuato-oblongis, 1-1 pollicem longis, remotiusculis, in petiolum decurrentibus; petioli elongati, compressi, suprà canaliculati, 24 -28 uncias longi: folia caulina remota, sursum decrescentia, mediocritèr petiolata, deltoideo-cordata, acuta, quandoque acuminata, auriculis acutis, cæterùm radicalibus conformia. Æstivatio foliorum involuta, junioribus densissimè velutino-glanduliferis: venatio radiatim divergens, venis apertè et distanter reticulatis, majoribus intra marginem anastomosantibus. Capitula homogama, multi- (circiter 70-) flora, terminalia, sessilia, arctè 5-8 aggregata, nunc rarò in foliis supremis axillaria solitaria, 1½ unciam circiter longa. Receptaculum inexpansum subglobosum, demùm ovato-ventricosum, pollicem crassum: squamæ numerosissimæ, multiseriales, imbricatæ, oblongæ, adpressæ, inermes, cum acumine calloso, setaceo, patulo vel recurvo, inferioribus nunc squarrosis, margine ciliato-scabridæ, basi incrassatæ, juniores lanâ intertextâ vestitæ. Receptaculum cartilagineum, convexum, alveolatum; alveolorum marginibus in fimbrillas setiformes subæquales, persistentes, ovariis triplo longiores productis. Flores atro-purpurei. Corollulæ omnes hermaphroditæ, æquales, tubulosæ, 5-fidæ, extùs plus minus curvatæ, 8-10 lineas longæ, tubo elongato, gracili, limbo triplo longiore, basi subdilatato et in annulum incrassato, fauce longiuscule ampliatâ, laciniis angustè linearibus reflexis. Stamina 5: filamenta filiformia glabra; antheræ angustè lineares, apice breviter et acutè appendiculatæ, basi in caudas longas, plumoso vel lanato-laceras, attenuatas, productæ. Pollen globosum, echinulatum. Stylus crassiusculus, filiformis, glaber, basi bulbosus, ultra corollulam et antherarum tubum exsertus, supernè nodoso-tumefactus, ad nodum zonâ pilorum longiorum cinctus; ramis elongatis, linearibus, crassiusculis, omninò liberis, divergentibus, extùs æqualiter puberulis. Stigmatum series inconspicuæ et haud prominulæ, ramorum apicem attingentes ibique confluentes. Pappus setaceus bi-serialis sordidè luteus, corollulis paulò brevior, setis subæqualibus, plumosis, basi sublamelliformibus, ternatim quaternatimve cohærentibus et in annulum ab ovario solubilem deciduum concretis. Achænium angustè obovatum, infernè attenuatum, glabrum, medio crassum, hinc a dorso ad margines paululùm attenuatum, plerumque plus minus arcuatum, apice nectario brevi styliformi, et margine membranaceo parùm prominulo lacero coronatum, basi areolà terminali instructum, 3-4 lineas longum, 1½ latum. Integumentum exterius (pericarpicum) nitidiusculum griseo-spadiceum; intimum (endopleura) diaphanum, tenue, carneum. Embryo majusculus, erectus, orthotropus, angustè oblongus, leviter ad margines arcuatus, pallidè viridis; radiculá brevi obtusà; cotyledonibus latis, planis, dorso subconvexis, sensim nec abruptè in radiculam transeuntibus.

I have named this plant in honour of George Earl Auckland, Governor-General of India, not in compliment to his rank, but as a distinction well merited by his Lordship's services in the cause of Indian botany. The plant was met with during a journey in Cashmeer, undertaken under Lord Auckland's auspices; and its value, as yielding a useful product, makes the application appropriate to the useful direction of his Lordship's views in promoting botanical investigation in our Indian empire.

Aucklandia partakes of the characters of Saussurea, Dolomiæa and Carlina. Its technical difference from the first mainly rests on the characters of the pappus, which, however, in conjunction with the habit, are sufficiently marked to entitle the plant to the rank of a distinct genus.

De Candolle founds his distinction between Saussurea and Aplotaxis upon the single row of setæ in the pappus of the latter. But this ground is untenable, as a considerable number at least of the Himalayan species have really an outer row of very caducous and filiform setæ, while the inner row is more permanent. These outer setæ frequently disappear in the dried specimen, or are detected with difficulty. Probably De Candolle has drawn his inference from specimens imperfect in this respect. The fact was observed and first brought to my notice by my friend Mr. M. P. Edgeworth. Lagurostemon, which De Candolle comprises as a section of Saussurea, seems to have better claims to the rank of a distinct genus than Aplotaxis.

The medicinal root, celebrated among the ancients under the name of Cosvol. XIX.

tus, has long been a disputed point among botanical writers. Linnæus, upon no good grounds, conjectured it to be produced by the Costus Arabicus, which opinion appears to have been first disproved by Jacquin. Sprengel, in his Commentaries on Dioscorides,* states that the Arabian Costus was not so designated from growing in Arabia, but because it was imported from India into that country. He does not, however, hazard a conjecture about the plant which produces it. Professor Royle, in his 'Illustrations,'† gives the history of the Costus as found in the works of the Persian Hukeems, and correctly infers, that the "Putchuk" of the Calcutta bazars is the same as the Arabian Costus. He throws out a hint that the root might be the produce of an umbelliferous plant. Ainslie, in his 'Materia Indica,'‡ gives Putchuck as the Tamil synonym of Costus Arabicus. The necessarily limited nature of a private scientific library at a remote station in India, prevents me from entering further upon the historical part of this subject.

The account of Costus given by Dioscorides § is thus:—"Arabian Costus is the best; it is of a white colour, and light, and emits a very grateful and sweet odour. Indian Costus holds the second rank; it is thick and light like Ferula (καὶ κοῦφος ὡς νάρθηξ). The third sort is the Syrian, which is heavy, in colour like box-wood, and emitting a strong odour. The best Costus is that which is fresh, light-coloured, compact, and of firm texture, dry, not worm-eaten, devoid of an acrid smell, and which tastes hot and biting." The medicinal properties are then detailed.

The Persian Hukeems have evidently founded their account of the Costus upon that of Dioscorides. The best is to be found in the Tofteh-ool-moomincen ||, which is thus:—"Köost (قسط) is a root resembling in appearance that of the Mandragora (Löofah وفيا), and comes from the borders of Hindoostan. The plant which yields it is humifuse and steinless, and has broad leaves. There are three sorts: the first, called Arabian or Ocean Köost,

. .

^{*} Kühn's Leipzig edition, 1830, tom. ii. p. 353.

p. 360.

[‡] vol. ii. p. 164.

[§] Sprengel's edition, tom. i. p. 29. (lib. i. cap. xv.).

MS., article bus.

(Köost Arabee and Köost buhree قسط الله والله و

That the root of Aucklandia is the Costus Arabicus of the ancients, is supported on numerous grounds. 1st. It corresponds with the descriptions of the Costus given by the ancient authors. 2nd. The coincidence of names: in Cashmeer the root is called Köot; and the Arabic synonym is said to be Köost, both given as synonyms by the Persian Hukeems, and names by which the medicine is known in all the bazars of Hindoostan Proper; in Bengal the Cashmeer Köot is called Putchuk: and it appears by a note in Dr. Royle's 'Illustrations,' that Garcias ab Horto gives "Pucho" as the Malay synonym of

^{*} The reading adopted by Dr. Royle, and what occurs in all the Persian manuscripts to which I have been able to refer, is a "heavy, and in weight, "heavy, and in weight, "colour," ought to be read instead of "he weight:" 1st, because the description would then accord with that of Dioscorides; 2nd, because the Persian Materia Medica authors, in hardly any one other instance, give a similitude in weight, while they constantly quote some corresponding colour; 3rd, because the root is already declared to be heavy: writing "wuzun" for "rung" is an error quite within the probable contingencies of transcription.

Costus Arabicus. 3rd. Köot is used at the present day for the same purposes in China, as Costus was formerly applied to by the Greeks and Romans. 4th. The direct testimony of the Persian authors, that Köost comes from the "borders of India," and that it is not a production of Arabia. 5th. The commercial history of the root gathered in Cashmeer under the name of Köot. It is collected in large quantities and exported to the Punjab, whence the larger portion goes down to Bombay, where it is shipped for the Red Sea, the Persian Gulf and China; a portion of it finds its way across the Sutluj and Jumna into Hindoostan Proper, whence it is taken to Calcutta, and bought up there with avidity, under the designation of Putchuk, for the China market. These proofs appear to be as conclusive as a question of the kind will admit of.

In M'Culloch's 'Commercial Dictionary*,' it is stated that "Putchok" is the root of a plant which grows abundantly in Sinde. I have no means of referring at present to his original authority; but it is probable that this is a mistake, which has originated in Sinde being one of the countries through which Köot passes in its commercial transit; in like manner as it was called Costus Arabicus by the ancients, from Arabia being the country from which it was brought to them.

In Ainslie's 'Materia Indicat,' "Kootka" is mentioned, on the authority of Kirkpatrick, as a root produced in Nepal. This, however, is the produce of a very different plant, and has no relation with Köot, besides the similarity of names.

Aucklandia grows in immense abundance on the mountains which surround Cashmeer; but, like certain other plants of that valley, its range of distribution in the Himalayas is very limited. It is nowhere found, except in the immediate vicinity of Cashmeer, although Rhæum Emodi, Aconitum heterophyllum, and Rhododendron anthopogon, the plants with which it is associated, have a very extensive range of distribution all along the western half of the Himalayan range. It does not extend northwards beyond the valley of the Krishna Gunga, where I found it near Goress. I nowhere met with it in the valley of the Indus Proper, either in or below Little Thibet. I know not

^{* 2}nd edition, p. 959, Art. "Putchok."

whether it occurs in the Hindoo-koosh range or no. Mr. Griffith will be able to determine this*.

Aucklandia is a gregarious plant, occupying the open, sloping, moist sides of the mountains, at an elevation of about 8000 to 9000 feet above the sea. In Cashmeer it is chiefly used for the protection of bales of shawls from worms, which its very pungent odour is well calculated to effect. not used by the Cashmeerians in medicinet, or, at any rate, it has but little reputation with them in that way. The same is the case in Hindoostan, where, notwithstanding the high virtues attributed to it by the Persian authors, it is hardly ever prescribed in practice. I have frequently been asked, when in Cashmeer, where and for what purpose the immense quantities of the root, annually collected, could find a market. The roots are dug up in the months of September and October, when the plant begins to be torpid; they are chopped up into pieces from two to six inches long, and exported without further preparation. The quantity collected is very large, amounting, so far as I could learn, to 10,000 or 12,000 khurwars (of 96 seers or 192 lbs. or about two million pounds per annum). In 1838, the Governor of Cashineer had imposed a contract upon Raja Kak, the Peshcar of Kamraj, to supply 5000 khurwars from the western purgunnahs alone; the zumeendars agreeing to land the article at Somfre, a town on the Behut river below the city, for Hurry Singhee Rs. 2.8 the khurwar, including cost of collection, carriage, &c., the

^{*} Dolomiza macrocephala, belonging to a nearly allied genus of the Carlinez, and having also, like the Aucklandia, a highly aromatic root, is well known in Cashmeer and Thibet by the name of "Dhoop" and "Googul Dhoop." It is burned as an incense in their temples by the Hindoo Cashmeerians. It occurs associated with Aucklandia, but, unlike the latter, it has an extensive range of distribution, stretching from Nepal to Cashmeer.

[†] These remarks refer to the root. From inquiries made subsequently to my leaving the valley, I am led to believe that the stem of the Köot plant is called "Wuft-Angil" in Cashmeer: it is suspended from the necks of children to avert the influence of the evil eye, and to expel worms. In the Punjab, to which it is exported in large quantities, the stem of the Köot is called "Mool-Guttee" (perhaps a vitiation of Mool-Kootee?), and it is used for the same purposes as in Cashmeer. I find that an article is brought from the Punjab to Jugadree in large quantities, and thence exported to Bengal under the name of "Poongee." It is said to be the stem of the Köot, and the specimens which I have seen agreed with it in every respect. I have not yet been able to follow up the history of "Poongee" in Bengal.

Hurry Singhee rupec being a debased coin, equal in value to 10 anas 8 pie of the Company's rupee, at the average rate of exchange. Taking the Company's rupec at 2s., and assuming Rs. Hurry Singhec 3, which it sometimes reaches, to be the average price of Koot per khurwar, the cost of collection and transport to a mercantile depôt in Cashmeer would be 2s. 4d. per cwt., a fact which will hardly be credited in England, and strongly indicative of the depressed condition of the valley, and of the great abundance of the article. Köot, however, is not allowed to reach its fair mercantile value, as the Governor keeps the trade in his own hands by forcing contracts on the zumecndars, who alone collect it. The commodity is laden on bullocks, and exported to the Punjab, whence it finds its way to Bombay, and a portion gets to Calcutta through Hindoostan. Immediately before it enters the Company's territories the value is enhanced at Jugadree on the Jumna to Company's rupces, 6. or 8. per maund, or about 16s. 9d. to 23s. 4d. per cwt. At Calcutta and Bombay it is readily bought up for the China market, where, according to the Canton price-current lists*, it fetches 13 Spanish dollars the pecul: taking the pecul at 133 lbs., and the Spanish dollar at 4s. 4d., the commercial value of Koot at Canton is 47s. 5d. per cwt., an immense increase upon the prime cost of the article in Cashmeer.

The Chinese burn Köot, like the ancients, as an incense in the temples of their gods; and they also attach great efficacy to it as an aphrodisiac. Taking into account the vast population, and the uniformity in manners and customs which prevails all over China, it is probable that the consumption of Köot by the Chinese is at present limited by the supply, and that they would readily take a much greater quantity than under existing circumstances finds its way into the market. The demand for the article in Cashmeer is so lively, that a surplus stock never remains in hand for any length of time; and as the plant is not an annual, but a perennial, which requires several years to mature the root into a commercial quality, it seems probable that the valley could not furnish any considerable increase upon the quantity now collected, without tending to extirpate, or, at any rate, greatly suppress the numerical amount of

[•] M'Culloch's Dictionary of Commerce, Art. 'Canton,' p. 237.

the plant. The Koot is entirely a spontaneous production, which costs no trouble besides the collection of it.

From an examination of the circumstances under which Aucklandia grows, and the plants with which it is associated, I have no hesitation in stating that Köot could be produced to an unlimited extent, of the best quality, in the Himalaya mountains within the British territories, at elevations of about 8000 fect above the sea. It would form a valuable addition to the native wealth of the Hill people. Some plants introduced from Cashmeer are now undergoing a trial at the experimental Garden at Mussooree.